


 $I(J^P) = \frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{2}^+)$ Status: ****

The parity has not actually been measured, but + is of course expected.

Ξ^0 MASS

The fit uses the Ξ^0 , Ξ^- , and Ξ^+ masses and the $\Xi^- - \Xi^0$ mass difference. It assumes that the Ξ^- and Ξ^+ masses are the same.

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1314.86±0.20 OUR FIT				
1314.82±0.06±0.20	3120	FANTI	00	NA48 p Be, 450 GeV
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
1315.2 ± 0.92	49	WILQUET	72	HLBC
1313.4 ± 1.8	1	PALMER	68	HBC

$m_{\Xi^-} - m_{\Xi^0}$

The fit uses the Ξ^0 , Ξ^- , and Ξ^+ masses and the $\Xi^- - \Xi^0$ mass difference. It assumes that the Ξ^- and Ξ^+ masses are the same.

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
6.85±0.21 OUR FIT				
6.3 ± 0.7 OUR AVERAGE				
6.9 ± 2.2	29	LONDON	66	HBC
6.1 ± 0.9	88	PJERROU	65B	HBC
6.8 ± 1.6	23	JAUNEAU	63	FBC
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
6.1 ± 1.6	45	CARMONY	64B	HBC See PJERROU 65B

Ξ^0 MEAN LIFE

VALUE (10^{-10} s)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2.90±0.09 OUR AVERAGE				
2.83±0.16	6300	¹ ZECH	77	SPEC Neutral hyperon beam
2.88 ^{+0.21} _{-0.19}	652	BALTAY	74	HBC 1.75 GeV/c $K^- p$
2.90 ^{+0.32} _{-0.27}	157	² MAYEUR	72	HLBC 2.1 GeV/c K^-
3.07 ^{+0.22} _{-0.20}	340	DAUBER	69	HBC
3.0 ± 0.5	80	PJERROU	65B	HBC
2.5 ^{+0.4} _{-0.3}	101	HUBBARD	64	HBC
3.9 ^{+1.4} _{-0.8}	24	JAUNEAU	63	FBC
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
3.5 ^{+1.0} _{-0.8}	45	CARMONY	64B	HBC See PJERROU 65B

¹The ZECH 77 result is $\tau_{\Xi^0} = [2.77 - (\tau_\Lambda - 2.69)] \times 10^{-10}$ s, in which we use $\tau_\Lambda = 2.63 \times 10^{-10}$ s.
²The MAYEUR 72 value is modified by the erratum.

Ξ^0 MAGNETIC MOMENT

See the "Note on Baryon Magnetic Moments" in the Λ Listings.

VALUE (μ_N)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN
-1.250±0.014 OUR AVERAGE			
-1.253±0.014	270k	COX	81 SPEC
-1.20 ± 0.06	42k	BUNCE	79 SPEC

Ξ^0 DECAY MODES

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)	Confidence level
$\Gamma_1 \Lambda\pi^0$	(99.525±0.012) %	
$\Gamma_2 \Lambda\gamma$	(1.17 ± 0.07) × 10 ⁻³	
$\Gamma_3 \Lambda e^+ e^-$	(7.6 ± 0.6) × 10 ⁻⁶	
$\Gamma_4 \Sigma^0\gamma$	(3.33 ± 0.10) × 10 ⁻³	
$\Gamma_5 \Sigma^+ e^- \bar{\nu}_e$	(2.53 ± 0.08) × 10 ⁻⁴	
$\Gamma_6 \Sigma^+ \mu^- \bar{\nu}_\mu$	(4.6 +1.8 -1.4) × 10 ⁻⁶	

$\Delta S = \Delta Q$ (SQ) violating modes or $\Delta S = 2$ forbidden (S2) modes

$\Gamma_7 \Sigma^- e^+ \nu_e$	SQ	< 9	× 10 ⁻⁴	90%
$\Gamma_8 \Sigma^- \mu^+ \nu_\mu$	SQ	< 9	× 10 ⁻⁴	90%
$\Gamma_9 p\pi^-$	S2	< 8	× 10 ⁻⁶	90%
$\Gamma_{10} p e^- \bar{\nu}_e$	S2	< 1.3	× 10 ⁻³	
$\Gamma_{11} p \mu^- \bar{\nu}_\mu$	S2	< 1.3	× 10 ⁻³	

CONSTRAINED FIT INFORMATION

An overall fit to 3 branching ratios uses 9 measurements and one constraint to determine 4 parameters. The overall fit has a $\chi^2 = 4.6$ for 6 degrees of freedom.

The following *off-diagonal* array elements are the correlation coefficients $\langle \delta x_i \delta x_j \rangle / (\delta x_i \cdot \delta x_j)$, in percent, from the fit to the branching fractions, $x_i \equiv \Gamma_i / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$. The fit constrains the x_i whose labels appear in this array to sum to one.

$$\begin{array}{c|ccc} & x_2 & & \\ x_2 & -57 & & \\ & -82 & 0 & \\ x_4 & -7 & 0 & 0 \\ x_5 & & x_1 & x_2 & x_4 \end{array}$$

Ξ^0 BRANCHING RATIOS $\Gamma(\Lambda\gamma)/\Gamma(\Lambda\pi^0)$

<u>VALUE (units 10^{-3})</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	Γ_2/Γ_1
---	-------------	--------------------	-------------	----------------	---------------------

 1.17 ± 0.07 OUR FIT **1.17 ± 0.07 OUR AVERAGE**

$1.17 \pm 0.05 \pm 0.06$	672	³ LAI	04A	NA48	p Be, 450 GeV
$1.91 \pm 0.34 \pm 0.19$	31	⁴ FANTI	00	NA48	p Be, 450 GeV
$1.06 \pm 0.12 \pm 0.11$	116	JAMES	90	SPEC	FNAL hyperons

³ LAI 04A used our 2002 value of 99.5% for the $\Xi^0 \rightarrow \Lambda\pi^0$ branching fraction to get $\Gamma(\Xi^0 \rightarrow \Lambda\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} = (1.16 \pm 0.05 \pm 0.06) \times 10^{-3}$. We adjust slightly to go back to what was directly measured.

⁴ FANTI 00 used our 1998 value of 99.5% for the $\Xi^0 \rightarrow \Lambda\pi^0$ branching fraction to get $\Gamma(\Xi^0 \rightarrow \Lambda\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} = (1.90 \pm 0.34 \pm 0.19) \times 10^{-3}$. We adjust slightly to go back to what was directly measured.

 $\Gamma(\Lambda e^+ e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_3/Γ

<u>VALUE (units 10^{-6})</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	Γ_3/Γ
$7.6 \pm 0.4 \pm 0.5$	397 ± 21	⁵ BATLEY	07C	NA48	p Be, 400 GeV

⁵ This BATLEY 07C result is consistent with internal bremsstrahlung.

 $\Gamma(\Sigma^0\gamma)/\Gamma(\Lambda\pi^0)$ Γ_4/Γ_1

<u>VALUE (units 10^{-3})</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	Γ_4/Γ_1
---	-------------	--------------------	-------------	----------------	---------------------

 3.35 ± 0.10 OUR FIT **3.35 ± 0.10 OUR AVERAGE**

$3.34 \pm 0.05 \pm 0.09$	4045	ALAVI-HARATI01C	KTEV	p nucleus, 800 GeV
$3.16 \pm 0.76 \pm 0.32$	17	⁶ FANTI	00	NA48
$3.56 \pm 0.42 \pm 0.10$	85	TEIGE	89	SPEC FNAL hyperons

⁶ FANTI 00 used our 1998 value of 99.5% for the $\Xi^0 \rightarrow \Lambda\pi^0$ branching fraction to get $\Gamma(\Xi^0 \rightarrow \Sigma^0\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} = (3.14 \pm 0.76 \pm 0.32) \times 10^{-3}$. We adjust slightly to go back to what was directly measured.

 $\Gamma(\Sigma^+ e^- \bar{\nu}_e)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_5/Γ

<u>VALUE (units 10^{-4})</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	Γ_5/Γ
---	-------------	--------------------	-------------	----------------	-------------------

 2.53 ± 0.08 OUR FIT **2.53 ± 0.08 OUR AVERAGE**

$2.51 \pm 0.03 \pm 0.09$	6101	BATLEY	07	NA48	p Be, 400 GeV
$2.55 \pm 0.14 \pm 0.10$	419	⁷ BATLEY	07	NA48	p Be, 400 GeV
$2.71 \pm 0.22 \pm 0.31$	176	AFFOLDER	99	KTEV	p nucleus, 800 GeV

⁷ This BATLEY 07 result is for $\Xi^0 \rightarrow \Sigma^- e^+ \bar{\nu}_e$ events.

 $\Gamma(\Sigma^+ \mu^- \bar{\nu}_\mu)/\Gamma(\Sigma^+ e^- \bar{\nu}_e)$ Γ_6/Γ_5

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	Γ_6/Γ_5
--------------	-------------	--------------------	-------------	----------------	---------------------

$0.018^{+0.007}_{-0.005} \pm 0.002$	9	ABOUZAID	05	KTEV	p nucleus 800 GeV
---	---	----------	----	------	---------------------

$\Gamma(\Sigma^+ \mu^- \bar{\nu}_\mu)/\Gamma(\Lambda \pi^0)$ Γ_6/Γ_1

<u>VALUE</u> (units 10^{-3})	<u>CL%</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
<1.1	90	0	YEH	74	HBC Effective denom.=2100
<1.5			DAUBER	69	HBC
<7			HUBBARD	66	HBC

 $\Gamma(\Sigma^- e^+ \nu_e)/\Gamma(\Lambda \pi^0)$ Γ_7/Γ_1 Test of $\Delta S = \Delta Q$ rule.

<u>VALUE</u> (units 10^{-3})	<u>CL%</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<0.9	90	0	YEH	74	HBC Effective denom.=2500
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
<1.5			DAUBER	69	HBC
<6			HUBBARD	66	HBC

 $\Gamma(\Sigma^- \mu^+ \nu_\mu)/\Gamma(\Lambda \pi^0)$ Γ_8/Γ_1 Test of $\Delta S = \Delta Q$ rule.

<u>VALUE</u> (units 10^{-3})	<u>CL%</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<0.9	90	0	YEH	74	HBC Effective denom.=2500
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
<1.5			DAUBER	69	HBC
<6			HUBBARD	66	HBC

 $\Gamma(p\pi^-)/\Gamma(\Lambda \pi^0)$ Γ_9/Γ_1 $\Delta S=2$. Forbidden in first-order weak interaction.

<u>VALUE</u> (units 10^{-6})	<u>CL%</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
< 8.2	90		WHITE	05	HYCP p Cu, 800 GeV
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
< 36	90		GEWENIGER	75	SPEC
<1800	90	0	YEH	74	HBC Effective denom.=1300
< 900			DAUBER	69	HBC
<5000			HUBBARD	66	HBC

 $\Gamma(p e^- \bar{\nu}_e)/\Gamma(\Lambda \pi^0)$ Γ_{10}/Γ_1 $\Delta S=2$. Forbidden in first-order weak interaction.

<u>VALUE</u> (units 10^{-3})	<u>CL%</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<1.3			DAUBER	69	HBC
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
<3.4	90	0	YEH	74	HBC Effective denom.=670
<6			HUBBARD	66	HBC

 $\Gamma(p \mu^- \bar{\nu}_\mu)/\Gamma(\Lambda \pi^0)$ Γ_{11}/Γ_1 $\Delta S=2$. Forbidden in first-order weak interaction.

<u>VALUE</u> (units 10^{-3})	<u>CL%</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<1.3			DAUBER	69	HBC
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
<3.5	90	0	YEH	74	HBC Effective denom.=664
<6			HUBBARD	66	HBC

Ξ^0 DECAY PARAMETERS

See the "Note on Baryon Decay Parameters" in the neutron Listings.

$\alpha(\Xi^0) \alpha_-(\Lambda)$

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-0.264 ± 0.013 OUR AVERAGE		Error includes scale factor of 2.1.		
$-0.260 \pm 0.004 \pm 0.005$	300k	HANDLER	82	SPEC FNAL hyperons
-0.317 ± 0.027	6075	BUNCE	78	SPEC FNAL hyperons
-0.35 ± 0.06	505	BALTAY	74	HBC $K^- p$ 1.75 GeV/c
-0.28 ± 0.06	739	DAUBER	69	HBC $K^- p$ 1.7–2.6 GeV/c

α FOR $\Xi^0 \rightarrow \Lambda \pi^0$

The above average, $\alpha(\Xi^0)\alpha_-(\Lambda) = -0.264 \pm 0.013$, where the error includes a scale factor of 2.1, divided by our current average $\alpha_-(\Lambda) = 0.642 \pm 0.013$, gives the following value for $\alpha(\Xi^0)$.

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID
-0.411 ± 0.022 OUR EVALUATION	Error includes scale factor of 2.1.

ϕ ANGLE FOR $\Xi^0 \rightarrow \Lambda \pi^0$

VALUE (°)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
21 ± 12 OUR AVERAGE				
16 \pm 17	652	BALTAY	74	HBC 1.75 GeV/c $K^- p$
38 \pm 19	739	⁸ DAUBER	69	HBC
-8 ± 30	146	⁹ BERGE	66	HBC

⁸ DAUBER 69 uses $\alpha_\Lambda = 0.647 \pm 0.020$.

⁹ The errors have been multiplied by 1.2 due to approximations used for the Ξ polarization; see DAUBER 69 for a discussion.

A REVIEW GOES HERE – Check our WWW List of Reviews

α FOR $\Xi^0 \rightarrow \Lambda \gamma$

See the note above on "Radiative Hyperon Decays."

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-0.73 ± 0.17 OUR AVERAGE				
$-0.78 \pm 0.18 \pm 0.06$	672	LAI	04A	NA48 p Be, 450 GeV
-0.43 ± 0.44	87	¹⁰ JAMES	90	SPEC FNAL hyperons

¹⁰ The sign has been changed; see the erratum, JAMES 02.

α FOR $\Xi^0 \rightarrow \Lambda e^+ e^-$

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-0.8 ± 0.2	397 ± 21	¹¹ BATLEY	07C	NA48 p Be, 400 GeV

¹¹ This BATLEY 07C result is consistent with the asymmetry α for $\Xi^0 \rightarrow \Lambda \gamma$, as expected if the mechanism is internal bremsstrahlung.

α FOR $\Xi^0 \rightarrow \Sigma^0 \gamma$

See the note above on "Radiative Hyperon Decays."

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$-0.63 \pm 0.08 \pm 0.05$	4045	ALAVI-HARATI01C	KTEV	p nucleus, 800 GeV

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$+0.20 \pm 0.32 \pm 0.05$	85	¹² TEIGE	89	SPEC FNAL hyperons
---------------------------	----	---------------------	----	--------------------

¹² This result has been withdrawn, due to an error. See the erratum, TEIGE 02.

$g_1(0)/f_1(0)$ FOR $\Xi^0 \rightarrow \Sigma^+ e^- \bar{\nu}_e$

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1.21±0.05 OUR AVERAGE				
+1.20±0.04±0.03	6520	13 BATLEY	07 NA48	p Be, 400 GeV
+1.32 ^{+0.21} _{-0.17} ±0.05	487	14 ALAVI-HARATI01I	KTEV	p nucleus, 800 GeV

¹³ This BATLEY 07 result uses our 2006 value of V_{us} from semileptonic kaon decays as input.

¹⁴ ALAVI-HARATI 01I assumes here that the second-class current is zero and that the weak-magnetism term takes its exact SU(3) value.

 $g_2(0)/f_1(0)$ FOR $\Xi^0 \rightarrow \Sigma^+ e^- \bar{\nu}_e$

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-1.7^{+2.1}_{-2.0}±0.5	487	15 ALAVI-HARATI01I	KTEV	p nucleus, 800 GeV

¹⁵ ALAVI-HARATI 01I thus assumes that $g_2 = 0$ in calculating g_1/f_1 , above.

 $f_2(0)/f_1(0)$ FOR $\Xi^0 \rightarrow \Sigma^+ e^- \bar{\nu}_e$

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2.0±1.2±0.5	487	ALAVI-HARATI01I	KTEV	p nucleus, 800 GeV

 Ξ^0 REFERENCES

BATLEY	07	PL B645 36	J.R. Batley <i>et al.</i>	(CERN NA48/1 Collab.)
BATLEY	07C	PL B650 1	J.R. Batley <i>et al.</i>	(CERN NA48 Collab.)
ABOUZAID	05	PRL 95 081801	E. Abouzaid <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL KTeV Collab.)
WHITE	05	PRL 94 101804	C.G. White <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL HyperCP Collab.)
LAI	04A	PL B584 251	A. Lai <i>et al.</i>	(CERN NA48 Collab.)
JAMES	02	PRL 89 169901 (erratum)	C. James <i>et al.</i>	(MINN, MICH, WISC, RUTG)
TEIGE	02	PRL 89 169902 (erratum)	S. Teige <i>et al.</i>	(RUTG, MICH, MINN)
ALAVI-HARATI	01C	PRL 86 3239	A. Alavi-Harati <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL KTeV Collab.)
ALAVI-HARATI	01I	PRL 87 132001	A. Alavi-Harati <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL KTeV Collab.)
FANTI	00	EPJ C12 69	V. Fanti <i>et al.</i>	(CERN NA48 Collab.)
AFFOLDER	99	PRL 82 3751	A. Affolder <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL KTeV Collab.)
JAMES	90	PRL 64 843	C. James <i>et al.</i>	(MINN, MICH, WISC, RUTG)
TEIGE	89	PRL 63 2717	S. Teige <i>et al.</i>	(RUTG, MICH, MINN)
HANDLER	82	PR D25 639	R. Handler <i>et al.</i>	(WISC, MICH, MINN+)
COX	81	PRL 46 877	P.T. Cox <i>et al.</i>	(MICH, WISC, RUTG, MINN+)
BUNCE	79	PL 86B 386	G.R.M. Bunce <i>et al.</i>	(BNL, MICH, RUTG+)
BUNCE	78	PR D18 633	G.R.M. Bunce <i>et al.</i>	(WISC, MICH, RUTG)
ZECH	77	NP B124 413	G. Zech <i>et al.</i>	(SIEG, CERN, DORT, HEIDH)
GEWENIGER	75	PL 57B 193	C. Geweniger <i>et al.</i>	(CERN, HEIDH)
BALTAY	74	PR D9 49	C. Baltay <i>et al.</i>	(COLU, BING) J
YEH	74	PR D10 3545	N. Yeh <i>et al.</i>	(BING, COLU)
MAYEUR	72	NP B47 333	C. Mayeur <i>et al.</i>	(BRUX, CERN, TUFTS, LOUC)
Also		NP B53 268 (erratum)	C. Mayeur	
WILQUET	72	PL 42B 372	G. Wilquet <i>et al.</i>	(BRUX, CERN, TUFTS+)
DAUBER	69	PR 179 1262	P.M. Dauber <i>et al.</i>	(LRL)
PALMER	68	PL 26B 323	R.B. Palmer <i>et al.</i>	(BNL, SYRA)
BERGE	66	PR 147 945	J.P. Berge <i>et al.</i>	(LRL)
HUBBARD	66	Thesis UCRL 11510	J.R. Hubbard	(LRL)
LONDON	66	PR 143 1034	G.W. London <i>et al.</i>	(BNL, SYRA)
PJERROU	65B	PRL 14 275	G.M. Pjerrou <i>et al.</i>	(UCLA)
Also		Thesis	G.M. Pjerrou	(UCLA)
CARMONY	64B	PRL 12 482	D.D. Carmony <i>et al.</i>	(UCLA)
HUBBARD	64	PR 135 B183	J.R. Hubbard <i>et al.</i>	(LRL)
JAUNEAU	63	PL 4 49	L. Jauneau <i>et al.</i>	(EPOL, CERN, LOUC+)
Also		Siena Conf. 1 1	L. Jauneau <i>et al.</i>	(EPOL, CERN, LOUC+)